

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION No. 4269/2005

PETITIONER

P.R. No. 3698/05
Presented by Sri. Suresh Pandey
dated 1/8/05

Premchand Jaysi S/o Mr. Rajbhan Prasad Jaysi, Aged about 32 years, Posted as Assistant Veterinary field officer Pashu Aushdhalaya Pachpedi, Block Masturi, Resident of Pachpedi, Block Masturi, District-Bilaspur (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

- : 1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through : Its Director Veterinary Services, Raipur (C.G.).
2. Additional Secretary of the Department of Agriculture (Pashu-Palan) Chhattisgarh State, Raipur (C.G.).
3. Joint Director, Veterinary Services, Bilaspur (C.G.)
4. Deputy Director, Veterinary Services, Dantewada (C.G.)
5. Veterinary Vistar Adhikari Pashu Aushdhalaya Masturi, District-Bilaspur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
1-8-05
CLERK to H. G. M. ASHTR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF THE
WRIT/WRITS, IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS/AND/OR
CERITORARI/AND/OR PROHIBITION/AND/OR OTHER
APPROPRIATE DIRECTION/DIRECTIONS AND/OR
ORDER/ORDERS.

मामला क्रमांक N. 8 No. 4269/05 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	05.10.2005 Mr. Suresh Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Arun Sao, learned Dy. Government Advocate for the State of Chhattisgarh. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner who is working as Assistant Veterinary Field Officer, Pachpedi, Block Masturi, District Bilaspur has been transferred to Kuwankoda, District Dantewara, due to administrative exigency as is clearly stated in the impugned transfer order dated 08.07.2005 (Annexure P-1). It is well settled principle of law that the Court should not interfere with the transfer orders in normal circumstances particularly when the transfer order has been passed due to administrative exigency not in exercise of malafide or in violation of any rules or regulations. In view of the foregoing, the impugned transfer order needs no interference, the petition is dismissed. No order as to costs. Consequently, all pending applications also stand disposed of.	Sd/- Satish K. Agnihotri Judge